REMARKS

The above-identified Office Action objected to the specification and rejected Claims 9-23 as being indefinite. The foregoing amendments to the specification, drawings and claims are intended to place the application in condition for allowance. In view of the amendments and the following reasons for allowance, the applicants hereby respectfully request further examination and reconsideration of the subject application.

The Objection to the Specification

The specification was objected to as being informal in that non-printable Tables 1-3 were included therein. In response, the applicants have removed the tables and added them to new drawing Figures 9-11, respectively, as suggested by the Examiner. The Description of the Drawings section was amended to add descriptions of the new figures and the specification was amended where appropriate to refer to the figures containing the tables. In view of the foregoing amendments, it is respectively requested that objection to the specification be withdrawn.

The Section 112, Second Paragraph, Rejections of Claims 9-23

Claims 9-23 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. It is believed that the foregoing amendments to the claims have clarified any indefiniteness that existed in the original claim language.

Specifically, Claim 9 was changed to read "parsing at least one email address from the at least one field in the preview pane or full message window which contains one or more email addresses", instead of "parsing at least one email address from the at least one email address field, as suggested by the Examiner in the above-identified Office Action.

It is believed the amended claims now fulfill the requirements of 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as they particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that the applicants regard as the invention. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of Claims 9-23 be reconsidered.

Summary

In summary, it is believed that the specification and claims are now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of the rejection of Claims 9-23 and withdrawal of the objection to the specification, are respectfully requested. In addition, allowance of the claims at an early date is courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard T. Lyon

Registration No. 37,385 Attorney for Applicant

LYON & HARR, LLP 300 Esplanade Drive, Suite 800 Oxnard, CA 93036 (805) 278-8855

IN THE DRAWINGS

Please add Figures 9-11. Two sheets of drawings showing new Figures 9-11 have been included with this response as an appendix.